UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,567	07/21/2004	David S. Bonalle	03292.101090.17	4566
	7590 11/20/200 CCELLA (AMEX)	9	EXAMINER	
1290 Avenue of the Americas			RUDY, ANDREW J	
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/710,567	BONALLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Se</u>	entember 2001					
<i>i</i>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>3-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 1, 2009 has been entered. Claims 3-11 are pending. Applicant cancelled claims 1, 2 and 12-15. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's September 1, 2009 Amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3, lines 2, 4, "communicatively coupled" is not clear in juxtaposition with the descriptive portion of the specification and drawing figures.

Claim 3, line 8, "a common file structure" is not clear in juxtaposition with the descriptive portion of the specification and drawing figures.

Claim 3, lines 8, 9, "a partner file structure" is not clear in juxtaposition with the descriptive portion of the specification and drawing figures.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,567 Page 3

Art Unit: 3687

Claim 3, lines 10, 11, 13, "write access" is not clear in juxtaposition with the descriptive portion of the specification and drawing figures.

Claim 11, line 2, "read access" is not clear in juxtaposition with the descriptive portion of the specification and drawing figures.

Clarification of the above issues are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 3-11, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arshad et al., US 6,952,156.

Arshad discloses a transponder, e.g. 20, a database, e.g. col. 5, lines 4-12, storing travel related information. Arshad's system has read/write access, e.g. Fig. 2. Arshad does not specifically disclose the term communicatively coupled to a transponder reader. However, the antenna, e.g. 32, capacitors, e.g. 34, 36, are coupled together with the transponder. Official Notice is taken that to have communicatively coupled a transponder to a transponder-reader has been common knowledge in the art. To have provided such for Arshad would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Similarly, as understood, airline and hotel applications have been common knowledge in the database art. To have provided such for a database of Arshad would appear to have been on obvious choice for one of ordinary skill in the art.

4. A further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 3687

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-

272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687